

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -112)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,723 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:

John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-112 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA MIDDLE			
ALM	3	19-00630	City of Alexander City, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ALM	3	19-00646	Russell County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ALASKA			
AK	3	19-00234	Eastern Aleutian Tribes v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	5	19-00440	City of Ocala, Florida v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al
FLM	6	19-01765	West Volusia Hospital Authority v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al
IDAHO			
ID	1	19-00348	Twin Falls County, Idaho v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	19-02379	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
OKLAHOMA EASTERN			
OKE	6	19-00300	Okfuskee County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma, LP et al Opposed 9/24/19
OKLAHOMA WESTERN			
OKW	5	19-00815	Anadarko City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 9/24/19
OKW	5	19-00816	Fort Cobb City of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 9/24/19
TEXAS WESTERN			
TXW	5	19-01089	City of San Antonio, Texas v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al